

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/19/2004
First Name: Shirley
Last Name: Ullum
Address: 265 Hunter Way
City: Bishop
State: CA
Zip Code: 93514
Topic: Other/General Comment

Comments: Thank you for allowing me to comment on this project. It's baffling to me how many people complain about jobs being sent overseas, wars over oil, and energy companies destroying the environment. Yet when a project such as this comes along, they want to fight it as well. Cabrillo Port is a much needed solution that provide Calif with a clean-burning energy option and domestic jobs, and in an environmentally friendly way. The impacts will be minimal and the gains huge. Why would anyone question the creation of this port?

G228-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

DEC. 19. 2004 9:39PM

308 806

NO. 442 P. 1/1

Source:
USCG Docket

Date: 12/19/04

USCG-2004-16877-703

No puede hablar durante la junta en el
auditorio de Oxnard. Pero si estoy muy en
apoyo al proyecto de Bos. Natural. No
va a ayudar bastante en producir mas electri-
cidad, mas trabajo.

G479-1

G479-2

[Signature]
935 Saratoga St
Oxnard CA 93035

Docket No. USCG-2004-16877
State Clearinghouse No.
20044021107

G479-1

Las notificaciones para las reuniones públicas y la información proporcionada en las reuniones públicas, indicaron que las personas que emitirían los comentarios hablarían en el orden en el cual se recibieron sus pedidos, luego de que las autoridades electas y representantes de agencias del gobierno fueran escuchados. Lamentamos que usted no haya podido quedarse en la reunión para dar testimonio oral; sin embargo, su comentario escrito entregado tiene la misma importancia que cualquiera de los comentarios orales proporcionados en las audiencias públicas.

The notices for the public meetings and the information provided at the public meetings indicated that commenters would speak in the order that their requests were received, after elected officials and representatives of government agencies were heard. We regret that you were unable to stay at the meeting to provide oral testimony; however, your submitted written comment carries the same weight as any oral comments provided at public hearings.

G479-2

Su mención está incluida en el registro público y sería tomada en cuenta por aquellas personas encargadas de tomar las decisiones, cuando consideren el Proyecto propuesto.

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/16/2004
First Name: Caroline
Last Name: Urso
Address: 1523 Trinet Drive
City: Beaumont
State: CA
Zip Code: 92223
Topic: Energy and Minerals

Comments: Look, we all know that we need more energy -- and more diverse energy sources -- in California. We don't want to go through another energy crisis, and our population isn't getting any smaller. I support renewable energy programs, but we can't expect everything to move to renewable tomorrow. That's just not realistic. The fact of the matter is that if we can move some of our coal burning energy facilities to cleaner burning natural gas, we will all be a lot better off. We can and should move forward on both renewable energy programs and LNG."

Thanks for registering my support for this project

G064-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Comment Form—Cabrillo Port LNG Deepwater Port draft EIS/EIR

Name (Please Print): JOSEPH VANA
 Organization/Agency: CONCERNED TAXPAYER
 Street Address: 20721 BIG ROCK DRIVE
 City: MALIBU State: CA Zip Code: 90265
 Email address: _____

Source:
USCG Docket

Date:

12/20/04

Please provide written comments in the space below and drop this form into the comment box.

You may also submit comments

- Electronically through the Project Web site at <http://www.cabrilloportlne.com>
- Electronically through the Docket Management System Web site (docket number 16877) at <http://dms.dot.gov>
- Or by mail or email to following addresses:

Docket Management Facility
 Room PL-401
 400 Seventh Street SW
 Washington, DC 20590-0001

California State Lands Commission
 100 Howie Avenue, Suite 100-South
 Sacramento, CA 95825
ogginsc@slc.ca.gov
 Attention: Cy Oggins

All comments must be received by 2 p.m. PST, December 20, 2004

Comments (Use other side or attach additional sheets if necessary): _____

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS (3) PAGES.

No action will be taken until the environmental review process is completed.

DEC 18 2004

COMMENTS REGARDING OXNARD L.N.G. PROPOSED FACILITY:

PRIOR TO APPROVAL IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT A FULL SCALE EXPLOSION TEST BE COMPLETED IN ORDER TO VALIDATE ASSUMPTIONS OF PUBLIC SAFETY. THE LARGE DIAMETER, VERY HIGH PRESSURE LINES ONSHORE WOULD ROUTE THROUGH POPULATED AREAS WHERE A MAJOR INCIDENT COULD RESULT IN THE DEATH OR INJURY OF LARGE NUMBERS OF PEOPLE.

G480-1

A FULL SCALE TEST USING ACTUAL SECTIONS OF PIPELINE OR CRITICAL ASSEMBLED LENGTH, BURIED AS PROPOSED, PRESSURIZED WITH THE ACTUAL NATURAL GAS PRODUCT TO THE OPERATING PRESSURE PROPOSED MUST BE COMPLETED IN ORDER TO DEVELOP THE PARAMETERS FOR EVALUATION OF SAFETY OF THE PROPOSED LINES.

THE TEST ASSEMBLY SHOULD BE DERIVED BY A DEVICE SUCH AS A TERRORIST OR MAJOR ACCIDENT COULD IMPART. THE INITIATION SHOULD BE SUFFICIENT TO RUPTURE THE PRESSURIZED GAS LINE SIMULATION TO ASSESS THE ACTUAL

G480-1

Appendix C3-3 contains information on the design and safety standards applicable to natural gas transmission lines. Section 4.2.8 contains information on estimated pipeline safety risks, impacts and mitigation.

Section 4.13.1 contains information on sensitive land uses in proximity to proposed and alternative pipeline routes, such as schools. There are no schools in the immediate vicinity of either of the proposed pipeline routes. Section 4.2.8 describes regulations regarding pipelines, including the requirement to establish public education programs to prevent and respond to pipeline emergencies. Section 4.2.8.4 contains information on the estimated risk of Project pipeline incidents. Section 4.16.1.2 describes emergency planning and response capabilities in the Project area.

The proposed pipelines within Oxnard city limits would meet standards that are more stringent than those of existing pipelines because they would meet the minimum design criteria for a U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) Class 3 location. Also, MM PS-4c includes the installation of additional mainline valves equipped with either remote valve controls or automatic line break controls. SoCalGas operates high-pressure natural gas pipelines throughout Southern California.

PROPAGATION OF THE FAILURE ALONG THE TEST ARTICLE.

THE FAILURE PROPAGATION COULD WELL BE EXPECTED TO BE SIMILAR TO THE FAILURE PROPAGATION OF A ROCKET MOTOR CASE FAILURE, WHICH HAVE BEEN SHOWN TO RUPTURE CYLINDRICAL CASE WALLS AND CUT THROUGH FLANGES OF THE CYLINDERS AT PRESSURES SIMILAR TO THE PROPOSED PIPELINES WITH DISASTEROUS RESULTS. SUCH CASES HAVING BEEN FABRICATED OF HIGHER GRADE STEEL, WITH THICKER WALLS AND HEAVIER FLANGES.

ONLY UPON COMPLETION OF SUCH A PROPERLY DESIGNED TEST CAN THE PARAMETERS FOR SAFETY ANALYSIS BE DETERMINED. COMPUTER ANALYSIS IS WORTHLESS WITHOUT KNOWLEDGE OF THESE PARAMETERS.

THE PROPOSED PROJECT IS OF VERY AMPLE COST. THEREFORE THE EXPENSE

OF A NECESSARY TEST DEMONSTRATION
 USING ACTUAL PROPOSED HARDWARE
 IS WELL WITHIN REASON AS A VERY
 SMALL FRACTION OF PROJECT COSTS.
 WITHOUT SUCH TEST DEMONSTRATION,
 THE LIABILITIES OF FAILURE COULD BE
 ENORMOUS.

SINCE THE DESIGN, FABRICATION AND
 EXECUTION OF SUCH A TEST WILL
 TAKE TIME AND THERE IS A TIME
 LIMIT STATUTE ON EVALUATION AND
 APPROVAL/DISAPPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED
 DOCUMENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE
 PROJECT, THE OBVIOUS SOLUTION IS
 TO DISAPPROVE THE PROJECT AND
 RESET THE SCHEDULE CLOCK AFTER
 THE FULL SCALE TEST IS COMPLETED
 AND THE RESULTING PARAMETERS
 HAVE BEEN FACTORED INTO THE
 APPROVAL EVALUATION. ONLY THEN
 SHOULD A DECISION BE RENDERED
 AS TO THE POSSIBLE START OF THE
 PROPOSED PROJECT

Sincerely
 [Signature]

G480-2

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

G480-2

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/19/2004
First Name: Gladys
Last Name: Vance
Address: 4723 Hayford Way #4
City: Sacramento
State: CA
Zip Code: 95842
Topic: Biological Resources - Terrestrial
Comments: I've reviewed the EIR/EIS and I have to say, I'm pleased to see that state regulators are requiring BHP Billiton to look at the impacts they might have on the endangered species. It looks like BHPB will be taking every measure to make sure the construction and function of the project has little to no impact. While I was initially supportive of the project, this was a concern of mine, but it looks like, once again, all ground has been covered.

G207-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

DEC. '9. 2004 9:39PM

308796

NO. 440 P. 1/1

Source:
USCG Docket**Attention:**

USCG-2004-16877-698

Date: 12/19/04

I support the Natural Gas project. This is a good, safe source of jobs. I was unable to speak at the Oxnard hearing even though I waited until after 10pm

G418-1&2

**Ramon Vasques****950 So. J Street****Oxnard, CA****93035**

Docket No. USCG-2004-16877
State Clearinghouse No.
20044021107

G415-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

G415-2


The notices for the public meetings and the information provided at the public meetings indicated that commenters would speak in the order that their requests were received, after elected officials and representatives of government agencies were heard. We regret that you were unable to stay at the meeting to provide oral testimony; however, your submitted written comment carries the same weight as any oral comments provided at public hearings.

I wanted to speak out in support of the Cabrillo Natural Gas port in Oxnard but was unable to speak at your hearing even though I signed up on a card and was unable to do so.

G481-1

Please know that I and most of the people in my neighborhood support this important community project.

G481-2



Irma Vasquez
1287 W. Cedar
Oxnard, California

G481-1

The notices for the public meetings and the information provided at the public meetings indicated that commenters would speak in the order that their requests were received, after elected officials and representatives of government agencies were heard. We regret that you were unable to stay at the meeting to provide oral testimony; however, your submitted written comment carries the same weight as any oral comments provided at public hearings.

G481-2

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Please support the LNG project in Oxnard – It is safe and will promote the use of clean energy.

G416-1

I support it and attended the hearing in November but was unable to speak because the people against these jobs brought many outsiders from our community in on a bus.

G416-2

Thank you for your time.



VERONICA VASQUEZ
1287 W. Cedar
Oxnard, CA 93035

G416-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

G416-2

The notices for the public meetings and the information provided at the public meetings indicated that commenters would speak in the order that their requests were received, after elected officials and representatives of government agencies were heard. We regret that you were unable to stay at the meeting to provide oral testimony; however, your submitted written comment carries the same weight as any oral comments provided at public hearings.

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Cabrillo Port

I find something very ironic on this subject. The people that are protesting against this project are most likely the same ones that do not hesitate in placing their 2 year old son and their 4 year old daughter in the back seat of a mini-van which sits right above 16+ gallons of highly explosive gasoline. They are more worried about liquefied gas over 14 miles off our coast but do not even blink an eye about their love ones within inches of a possible explosion. Oh well, I guess that is just the thinking of the typical un-informed people that live in this state. They want all the conveniences of life as long as it isn't in their back yard.

Bill Vaughn

Name (Please Print): Armando Vazquez Source: Public Meeting - Oxnard PM
 Organization/Agency: Cafe on A Date: 11/30/2004
 Street Address: 438 S "A" Street
 City: Oxnard State: CA Zip Code: 93030
 Email address: Ava1040 @ SBCglobal.net

Please provide written comments in the space below and drop this form into the comment box.

You may also submit comments

- Electronically through the Project Web site at <http://www.cabrilloport.ene.com>
- Electronically through the Docket Management System Web site (docket number 16877) at <http://dms.dot.gov>.
- Or by mail or email to following addresses:

Docket Management Facility
 Room PL-401
 400 Seventh Street SW
 Washington, DC 20590-0001

California State Lands Commission
 100 Howe Avenue, Suite 100-South
 Sacramento, CA 95825
 ogginsc@slc.ca.gov
 Attention: Cy Oggins

All comments must be received by 2 p.m. PST, December 20, 2004

Comments (Use other side or attach additional sheets if necessary):

This is wrong. Don't force this on
our community. This is untried and
untested. We don't need more **G389-1**

fossil fuels. We don't need to
be bought by Australian corporate
leaders. Keep our funds local. **G389-2**
Let our decisions be made locally.

No action will be taken until the environmental review process is completed.

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

JAMES A. VERKUIL

DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
DOCKETS

2004 DEC 28 P 2:36

December 16, 2004

Docket Management Facility
U.S. Department of Transportation
Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW
Washington, DC 20590-0001

CC
California State Clearinghouse
ATTN: Mr. Cy Oggins
California State Lands Commission
100 Howe Ave., Suite 100-South
Sacramento, Ca. 95825

RE: Docket # 16877 - K3

Dear Sir or Ma'am: I am writing to support the LNG facility that is being proposed offshore of Oxnard, California.


As someone who has worked in the oil industry in the past I fully understand the situation concerning natural gas. I am well aware that our local supply of natural gas is diminishing. I have seen the situation go from a point where we burned off natural gas because we had so much because at that time we had no way to readily store the excess.

I believe and the facts support this opinion that the LNG facility to convert liquid natural gas to its normal state of a gas and transfer this product to the existing product distribution network that we already have in place is a practical and safe way to upgrade our ability to use this clean energy.

I am aware that there is some risk to this project. But I understand that there is risk to every thing that we do. After reading the information regarding this proposed facility I believe that the benefits of doing this far out weigh the risks. If we continue to listen to the nay Sayers on this and every other energy proposal that comes up pretty soon we will be living in the dark ages.

We must not let extremely minor risk stop our forward development in meeting our need for clean energy. And once again I believe that this project is a major step in the right direction in meeting our current and future needs in clean energy.

Sincerely:
James A. Verkuil



G531-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

G531-1

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/17/2004
First Name: Carmen
Last Name: Vertullo
Address: 5877 Chaumont Drive
City: San Diego
State: CA
Zip Code: 92020
Topic: Energy and Minerals

Comments: I am a small business owner in Southern California. Year after year we become more concerned with the cost of doing business in our state. These costs, including the rising cost of energy, cause us to question whether or not we can remain a viable business in the state of California. We do not want to close down or move our business to a more competitive state. We need to do everything we can to help ease the cost of energy, including natural gas. The Cabrillo Deep Water Port Project is a great step forward in providing us with an affordable supply of natural gas. I support the Cabrillo Port and I expect all responsible, business friendly elected and appointed officials to do so as well.

G125-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/16/2004
First Name: Cynthia
Last Name: Vicknair
Title: Ms
Address: 655 Columbia Street #211
City: San Diego
State: CA
Zip Code: 92101
Phone No.: 619-236-0311
Email Address: cynkatia@aol.com
Topic: Energy and Minerals
Comments: California needs to develop new energy sources so we aren't dependent and blackmailed by the Middle East. Energy costs will come down only when the supply exceeds demand.

G050-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

USCG-2004-16877-723
Source:
USCG Docket

Date: 12/20/04

Ralph A. Volpi
2100 Napoli Dr.
Oxnard, CA 93035-2916
rvolpi@west.net

Monday, December 20, 2004

U.S. Department of Homeland security
U.S. Coast Guard

RE: CABRILLO PORT USCG DOCKET # 16877

Gentlemen:

I am a resident of Ventura County and the City of Oxnard. My family has been homeowners in Oxnard since 1959. I fully approve of the building of the Cabrillo Deepwater LNG port for the following reasons:

1. As I am extremely concerned with the energy situation of the County, State and Country. Natural Gas is an extremely clean and SAFE form of energy and as a state and national policy we should be encouraging its use.
2. It is apparent that no other site along the coastline of California has as many advantages as the proposed site of the Cabrillo Deepwater LNG port. It is safe, (no expected release of gas from the port would reach the shore according to the draft EIS/EIR), and no other site would be less impacting on the natural environment. Furthermore, because we will be importing the LNG, all the truly bad environmental consequences of producing the energy we use will be on foreign states. Cabrillo Port will not require extensive drilling, multiple drilling and development platforms, or large scale industrial operations off, or along our coast. It is difficult to imagine a more benign form of energy production to the State of California and its peoples than Cabrillo Port.

One last word on "environmental justice". The draft EIS/EIR notes that most of the impact of the project would be on lower income individuals who also happen to be Hispanic. In this I feel the draft EIS/EIR is wrong. While it is true that for a period of about eight months while the inshore gas transmission pipeline is being built, the relatively poorer residents along the right of way will be inconvenienced, and they will also be suffer a very slight increase of danger from explosion or leakage of the transmission pipeline, these inconveniencies are very slight, and wholly out-of-sight and will be offset by increased job opportunities and lower natural gas prices. However, for the very rich owners of property along the coast, and for those who have the time and the money to go boating over 14 miles off shore, the port may be a real

G405-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

G405-1

diminishment of their lifestyle. In other words the deleterious environmental impact of the port would seem to be concentrated on the visual enjoyment rich. A vote against the Cabrillo Port would seem to be a vote to relieve the rich of a very small visual distraction and to impose on some other, most probably poorer community, truly heavy environmental degradation from increased industry, large facilities and potentially massive death in the case of an on-shore LNG facility. Cabrillo Port therefore gives the State Land Commission an opportunity to be truly on the side of the poor against the rich by approving a facility that imposed what little environmental costs it has on the richer portion of our community.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ralph A. Volpi". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Ralph A. Volpi

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/19/2004
First Name: Ellen
Last Name: Vose
Address: 11600 Montana Ave.
City: Brentwood
State: CA
Zip Code: 90049
Topic: Noise
Comments: Hello, I was reading about this port and where it would be placed. I found out that because this port will be so far off the coast you will barely be able to see it and you won't be able to hear it. I really feel that great consideration was given to the residents when deciding the placement of this port. Thank you for the consideration. I would like to voice my support for this port.

G231-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

Origin: E&E Website
Date: 12/20/2004
First Name: Gary
Last Name: Voss
Address: 1200 Park Street
City: Alameda
State: CA
Zip Code: 94501
Topic: Socioeconomics

Comments: People are always complaining about having to go to war for oil, or jobs being sent overseas. So, now we have a project that will create more jobs and produce natural gas domestically. Why are people fighting it? Because some people like to complain about everything and are satisfied with nothing. This is a good project that will bring an economical boost to our state and local communities. As well, it will provide the natural gas we need. There is no reason why this project should not be moved forward. Thank you for allowing me to comment.

G259-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.